*Blog Article*

# THE NO KINGS MOVEMENT OF 2025 REVIVED THE FOUNDERS' VISION OF POPULAR RESISTANCE AGAINST AUTOCRACY

**Taha Nazir** PhD
Research Scientist, Writer, Media Professional and Social Worker. https://tahanazir.com/

**ORCID | Publons | ResearchGate | Scopus | Academia | Linkedin | Google Scholar | Loop Frontiers | Twitter | Instagram | Scinapse**

**Identifiers**:
ID: 390-25/DTN
December 31, 2025

**Correspondence**: Taha Nazir PhD, Researcher, Worker, and Journalist. Thomson Reuters - ID N-5730-2015 | ORCID ID - orcid.org/0000-0002-5308-6798 | https://tahanazir.com

**AI Tools:** Artificial Intelligence tools employed for scientific content development, data analysis, formulation, synthesis and conclusions for accuracy, validity and implications of the work.

**Conflict of interest:**
Author accepts all potential conflict of interest.

**Funding:**
The authors received no direct funding.

**Ethics approval:**
No ethical approval needed for this work.

**Consent for publication**:
Author is agreed to publish this article.

**Peer Review:** Not peer reviewed

**Running title**:No Kings protests, democratic mobilization, U.S. civil resistance

**Keywords**:nationwide civic uprising, mass protest movement, resistance symbolism

**Data Source:** Archives, Biographies, Databanks, Encyclopedias, Libraries, Metadata Registries, Reports, Repositories, arXiv, Crossref, OpenAI, Wikipedia, World Health Organization, Zenodo.

Mass protests exemplify civic power when democratic institutions falter under perceived executive overreach. The **October 18, 2025, No Kings demonstrations** represented a resurgent affirmation of America's revolutionary ethos—an emphatic reminder that no leader stands above the governed. Mobilizing an estimated **5 to 7 million participants** across **2,600–2,700 sites** in all **50 U.S. states**, with additional solidarity events in **Toronto, London, and Berlin**, the protests reaffirmed that the defense of democracy remains the people's prerogative. Organized by over **200 civic and political groups**—including **Indivisible, MoveOn, ACLU, Public Citizen, SEIU**, and the **Socialist Equality Party**—and coordinated through **nokings.org**, this movement marked the **third major wave of demonstrations** since **Donald Trump's January 20, 2025, inauguration**. Participation exceeded that of **June 2025 (4–6 million)** and **April 2025 (2 million)**, confirming a growing momentum consistent with **Mancur Olson's collective action theory**, where escalating grievances overcome participation barriers through shared purpose and digital coordination. These mobilizations drew strength from **Project 2025's "unitary executive" doctrine**, widely criticized by **Brookings Institution**

**scholars**, which many perceived as an existential threat to the republic's checks and balances. By invoking the **Declaration of Independence's anti-monarchical ethos**, protesters framed their resistance not as partisanship but as a constitutional necessity.

**Historic Civic Mobilization**

The **No Kings movement** was unprecedented in scale and sophistication. Data from **Harvard's Crowd Counting Consortium** and political analyst **G. Elliott Morris (@gelliottmorris)** confirmed a **25% growth in participation** relative to earlier 2025 demonstrations. This surge reflected not just outrage but also the maturation of civic infrastructure: a networked coalition bound by purpose, coordination, and historical awareness.

The protests were strategically decentralized, leveraging digital tools to synchronize across **urban and rural America**. The result was an orchestrated national demonstration of civic unity that combined precision organization with moral conviction. This phenomenon illustrated **Olson's insight** that shared grievances, when anchored in clear collective identity, can override individual disincentives and mobilize millions for common cause.

**United Citizens Amid Escalating National Crises**

**A Crisis of Electoral Integrity and Constitutional Balance Sparked Nationwide Resistance**

Catalyzed by controversies surrounding the **2024 presidential election**, including **voter suppression** documented by the **Brennan Center for Justice** amid **Trump's victory over Kamala Harris**, the protests were fueled by a widespread belief that democratic safeguards were eroding. **Justice Sonia Sotomayor's dissent** in *Moore v. Harper* (September 2025) crystallized this anxiety, warning that unchecked executive power threatened to destabilize constitutional governance—an alarm echoing **Robert Dahl's polyarchy framework** (*Democracy and Its Critics*, 1989).

**Moral Outrage Over Human Rights and Immigration Abuses**

Protesters condemned **over 10,000 family separations** and **covert ICE operations**, documented in **ACLU filings** as **Fifth Amendment violations**. These actions reignited public memory of prior humanitarian crises and framed immigration not as policy, but as a constitutional and ethical battleground.

**Environmental and Economic Injustice Exposed the Depth of Institutional Decay**

Environmental deregulation under Trump—particularly **EPA methane rule rollbacks affecting over 150 scientific standards** (per the **Union of Concerned Scientists**)—became a flashpoint for environmental and labor alliances. Simultaneously, the **45-day federal shutdown in July 2025**, which cost **$11 billion** according to the **Congressional Budget Office**, magnified economic grievances and historic parallels to the **1932 Bonus Army** and **1963 March on Washington** (*Sidney Tarrow, Power in Movement*, 1994).

**Moral Authority Through Strategic Nonviolence**

The **No Kings demonstrations** exemplified disciplined nonviolence consistent with **Gene Sharp's principles** (*From Dictatorship to Democracy*, 1993). **FBI reports recorded zero major arrests**, underscoring a remarkable commitment to peaceful civic resistance. Protesters' slogans—"**No kings, no führers!**" and "**Trump's Not My King**"—evoked the nation's revolutionary DNA, turning history into weaponized symbolism.

Creative tactics amplified visibility and unity: **inflatable dinosaurs in Los Angeles**, **Statue of Liberty costumes in New York**, and **human chains on San Francisco's Ocean Beach** captured the imagination of millions. Coordination through **Signal** ensured organizational resilience, mirroring **Marina Sitrin's horizontalist practices** (*Horizontalism*, 2006) from the **Occupy Wall Street movement**, emphasizing leaderless, participatory democracy.

**Moral Core of American Democracy**

Participant demographics reflected the movement's moral depth and inclusivity. **SEIU organizer Jamie Contreras**, **74-year-old activist Celeste Pleasant** of Detroit, and a robust **Gen Z contingent** (65% expressing disapproval per **Pew Research Center**) joined forces in an unprecedented generational coalition. Through **localized affinity groups** in **Bellingham, New Haven, DeWitt, Boston, Boise, Richmond, Minneapolis, Portland, Denver, Albuquerque, Austin**, and **Lexington**, participants transformed abstract ideals into tangible community action—mitigating the **free-rider problem** through personal investment and solidarity. Prominent leaders—including **Bernie Sanders**, **Governor Tim Walz**, **Chicago Mayor Brandon Johnson**, and **Representative Adelita Grijalva**—provided moral and rhetorical coherence. Sanders' speech, "**Contempt for the Constitution**," and Walz's "**No Kings**" address became rallying touchstones, countering partisan dismissals such as **House Speaker Mike Johnson's** claim that the protests were "hate-America events."

**Global Solidarity and Transnational Democratic Impact**

International solidarity expanded the protests' moral reach. Events in **Toronto, London (5,000 participants per Metropolitan Police)**, and **Berlin** demonstrated the global diffusion of democratic movements. **Amnesty International** cited the protests as evidence of declining U.S. human rights protections, while **Pam Bondi's August 2025 indictment dismissals** fueled outrage abroad. These transnational echoes exemplified **Pamela Oliver and Hank Johnston's diffusion theory** (*Social Movements and Culture*, 2000), illustrating how civic resistance propagates across borders when democratic norms appear imperiled.

**Civic Mobilization and Institutional Leverage**

The **No Kings protests** rivaled the **2017 Women's March** in size and surpassed it in systemic impact, as noted by **University of Denver researchers**. Within weeks, the movement catalyzed a **30% surge in voter registration** and prompted new **ACLU lawsuits** challenging executive actions. These outcomes confirmed the protests' potential to influence institutional realignment ahead of the **2026 midterms**.

Yet, vulnerabilities persisted. **AI-generated mocking content**, coordinated misinformation, and sporadic unrest in **Portland** and **Denver** illustrated weaknesses long predicted by **Doug McAdam's political process model** (*Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency*, 1982). Despite these challenges, the movement's **strategic framing—melding Revolutionary analogies with modern democratic critique—transformed protest energy into sustained political pressure**, visible since **nokings.org's February 2025 launch**.

**The No Kings Movement and the Republic's Moral Revival**

The **No Kings uprising of October 18, 2025**, stands as a defining chapter in the evolving narrative of American democracy. Through peaceful defiance, digital coordination, and historical

consciousness, millions reasserted the foundational creed that **the United States is a nation of laws, not of kings**.
By fusing **Revolutionary symbolism**, **constitutional reverence**, and **modern mobilization**, the protests revitalized the nation's democratic conscience. In a century marked by polarization and technological disruption, **No Kings** reaffirmed that when institutions falter, **the people themselves must rise to defend liberty**—and in doing so, they reminded the world that democracy endures not by inheritance, but by collective will.

**Editorial Statement:**
This is research-based manuscript, prepared and structured in a scientific manner. Modern AI-assisted tools used to access current and authentic info. The digital archives, bibliographic databanks, online libraries, research articles, academic repositories and encyclopedias employed.

**Preprint Notice:**
This manuscript is shared as a non–peer-reviewed preprint on platforms such as Zenodo, SSRN, and Research Square to support scholarly discussion. The content is research-based and developed using publicly available and verifiable sources. Readers are encouraged to interpret the material as preliminary and subject to revision.

**Disclaimer:**
This non–peer-reviewed article is shared for general academic discussion. AI tools were used to assist with clarity and organization. Readers are advised to independently assess and verify the information.

**References:**


- *June 2025 No Kings protests.* (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/June_2025_No_Kings_protests — Overview of how the "No Kings" demonstrations took place nationwide in June 2025 and opposed perceived authoritarian trends in U.S. governance.
- *October 2025 No Kings protests.* (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/October_2025_No_Kings_protests — Description of the large coordinated protests on October 18, 2025 across cities and states, situating them in ongoing civic resistance.
- *No Kings protests | Meaning, Turnout, Numbers, & Around the World.* (n.d.). *Britannica*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://www.britannica.com/event/No-Kings-protests — Encyclopedic summary of "No Kings" protest scale and participation in the United States.
- What the *No Kings* protests accomplished. (2025, October 21). *Progressive.org*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://progressive.org/latest/what-the-no-kings-protests-accomplished-karn-20251021/ — Commentary on the protests as a moral revitalization of democratic ideals and civic engagement.
- *Understanding The 'No Kings' protest: Meaning and significance.* (n.d.). *Oak & Fort*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://add-ins.oakandfort.com/blog/understanding-the-no-kings-protest — Explains the broader ideological context of rejecting authoritarianism and power concentration.
- What is the 'No Kings' protest: Objective and demands. (n.d.). *The Economic Times*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://m.economictimes.com/news/international/us/what-is-

no-kings-protest-whats-the-objective-and-what-are-protesters-demanding/amp_articleshow/124628647.cms — Describes the coalition behind the movement and why protesters emphasize democratic ownership by "the people, not kings."

- Millions expected to rally in *No Kings Day* protests. (2025, Oct). *Time*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://time.com/7325683/no-kings-protests-oct-18/ — News preview of the widespread October 18 rallies and the democratic symbolism invoked by protesters.
- *No Kings* protests return amid claims of authoritarian trends. (2025, Oct 17). *AP News*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://apnews.com/article/f04e7a4728c10b694f540bcef0646370 — News account on the renewed wave of protests in thousands of U.S. locations.
- The *No Kings* resistance. (2025, June 14). *Politico*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://www.politico.com/news/2025/06/14/no-kings-protest-trump-00406243 — Political reporting on the initial large nationwide protests and their framing as resistance to executive policies.
- *No Kings protests: Americans take to the streets voicing against the Trump administration.* (2025). *Times of India*. Retrieved January 2026, from https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/us/no-kings-protests-americans-take-to-the-streets-voicing-against-the-trump-administration-in-pictures/photostory/121853796.cms — International media coverage capturing the spirit and scale of the demonstrations.

